

FY2005 Alabama CDBG Program

☐ **Small City Fund** ☐ **Large City Fund** ☐ **County Fund**

Applicant: _____

Total Score _____

Grant Request: \$ _____

Rater _____

Project No. _____

Date _____

Activity: _____

Eligible (Y/N): _____

Meets Threshold (Y/N): _____

National Objective (LMI/S&B/UN): _____

Nature of Benefits

B.1. Assessment of Community Development Needs:

(Circle One)

Excellent	11 - 14	
In Depth	5 - 10	
Fair	1 - 4	
Unsatisfactory	0	_____

Comments: _____

B.2. Reasonableness of Need(s) to be addressed using CDBG funds:

Strongly Reasonable	17 - 25	
Fairly Reasonable	9 - 16	
Marginally Reasonable	1 - 8	
Unreasonable	0	_____

Comments: _____

B.3. Description of Proposed Activities Including Estimates of the Quantity and Unit Cost of Major Cost Items and Required Maps:

	<u>Description/Cost</u>	<u>Maps</u>
Complete	5 - 8	5 - 8
Generally Complete	1 - 4	1 - 4
Inadequate	0	0

Comments: _____

B.4. How directly will project area beneficiaries be impacted by the proposed activity?

Survey Maps and Tally Sheets: Yes _____ No _____

Substantially Direct	9 - 12
Fairly Direct	5 - 8
Minimally Direct	0 - 4

Comments: _____

B.5. Extensiveness of Project Impact/Indirect Benefits:

	<u>Impact</u>	<u>Indirect</u>
Substantial	13 - 18	4 - 5
Moderate	7 - 12	2 - 3
Minimal	0 - 6	0 - 1

Comments: _____

B.6. Maintenance and Operation:

Excellent Capacity	6 - 7	
Sufficient Capacity	3 - 5	
Minimal Capacity	1 - 2	
No Capacity	(0 to -5)	_____

Comments: _____

B.7. Estimated useful life/lasting impact of the improvements:

Long-Term Benefit (generally more than 20 years)	8 - 10	
Intermediate Benefit	5 - 7	
Short-Term Benefit (less than 10 years)	1 - 4	_____

Comments: _____

B.8. Extent to which the request for CDBG funds is considered appropriate (extent/type of local financial participation, cost effectiveness of activity(s), public input, provision for hook-ups, innovative use of grant funds, water/sewer rates, urgency, criticalness, CDBG funds requested, empowerment/renewal community, effective use of any other State or Federal Funds, **etc.**) Consider the information provided throughout the application to score this item:

Very Appropriate	13 - 18	
Moderately Appropriate	7 - 12	
Minimally Appropriate	1 - 6	
Inappropriate	(0 to -10)	_____

Comments: _____

TOTAL NATURE OF BENEFITS SCORE: Points _____

Need Factors:

Percent LMI Points _____

Number LMI Points _____

Low/Moderate Benefit: (Check One):

_____ Based on a Single Primary Activity:

 $(\% \text{ LMI} - 55)(.5)_{\text{max. 15}}$ _____

_____ Based on Two or More Activities

activity 1. $\{(\% \text{ LMI} - 55)(.5)_{\text{max. 15}}(\text{act. 1 ben./total of all acts.})\}$ _____activity 2. $\{(\% \text{ LMI} - 55)(.5)_{\text{max. 15}}(\text{act. 2 ben./total of all acts.})\}$ _____activity 3. $\{(\% \text{ LMI} - 55)(.5)_{\text{max. 15}}(\text{act. 3 ben./total of all acts.})\}$ _____

Total (nearest whole number) _____

C.1. Cost Per Beneficiary Ratio: (from attached Table C.1.) Points _____

Adjustments Needed: _____

C.2. Local Match Ratio: _____ Points _____

1,000 or less population Y/N _____ (20 points if population 1,000 or less)

(Two points for each one percent match up to 20 points)

Adjustments Needed: _____

TOTAL SCORE _____

C.3. Project will stand alone: _____

Project will not stand alone. _____

(If project will not stand alone, explain why not in comments. No score is assigned to this section.)

Comments: _____
